IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Alfred J. Alsbrooks,) C/A No.: 2:14-cv-4607 DCN
Plaintiff,)
vs.	ORDER
Sandra Pusey-Cameron; Timothy Stone;)
Jane Doe; Present and Past Employees;)
Affiliates of Fort Sumter National)
Monument, in their official capacity for the)
National Park Service, and agency for the)
United Sates Depart. of Interior,)
-)
Defendants.)
)

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's

¹In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice

2:14-cv-04607-DCN Date Filed 05/04/15 Entry Number 23 Page 2 of 2

report and recommendation.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for lack of prosecution.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

May 4, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.